

1 IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF WOOD COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA 2 STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA, 3 Plaintiff. 4 vs. CASE NOS. 11-F-101 5 16-F-25 THOMAS DEEGAN, 6 Defendant. 7 8 MOTION TO REVOKE HOME CONFINEMENT 9 The following proceeding was held before the Honorable 10 Jeffrey B. Reed, Judge, on the 19th day of February, 2016. 11 APPEARANCES: 12 MR. SAMUEL C. ROGERS, II, Assistant Prosecuting Attorney, 317 Market Street, Parkersburg, WV 26101. Counsel for the Plaintiff. 13 14 MR. F. JOHN OSHOWAY, Attorney-at-Law, P. O. Box 156, Grantsville, WV 26147. 15 Standby Counsel for the Defendant. MR. STEVE STEPHENS, Chief Home Confinement Officer. 16 MR. THOMAS DEEGAN, Defendant. 18 19 20 21 22 23 CYNTHIA A. SUTPHIN, CER, CET #2 Government Square, Room 221 24 Parkersburg, WV 26101 (304) 424-172125

## PROCEDINGS

(Whereupon, the following proceeding was held on the 19<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2016, beginning at 1:38 p.m. All parties present.)

THE COURT: Case Nos. 11-F-101 and 16-F-25, both State vs. Thomas Deegan. We're set here actually on a -- in the 11-F-101, the motion to revoke home confinement. But I called both cases because there's been some filings by the defendant, and he has sort of intermingled the cases, making the same motions in both cases, which is fine.

But one of the things that caught my attention I think that we need to deal with and deal with in both cases is the issue of counsel again. And the reason I say that is — the only thing I can go by is the date that it was received in my office, but I received something on the 8<sup>th</sup> of February, 2016, and it is titled, "Declaration, Affidavit and Notice of Non-Understanding, Non-Disclosure, Non-Notice and Negative Averment, Declaration, Affidavit and Notice of Rebuttals of Assumptions, Presumptions and Statements."

And the reason I bring that up is that on page two -actually -- yeah, on page two it says, "I deny that I am
acting pro se or as my own attorney or counsel." And, of
course, that's in direct conflict with what I understood was
the request at the last hearing, which is that the defendant
represent himself. And he did it again on page five. It

says, "I am not acting as my own counsel, attorney, pro se, 2 or any other term of art." 3 So, I guess, Mr. Deegan, I'm trying to get you to 4 clarify whether you want to represent yourself or whether you 5 don't want to represent yourself? THE DEFENDANT: I do not wish to re-present myself in 6 7 any other capacity than what I am. 8 THE COURT: Well, that's not my question. Are you going 9 to represent yourself in this case or not? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Then I don't understand what you're 11 saying then. THE COURT: Okay. What is it that you don't understand? 12 13 You're either your counsel or you're not your own counsel. 14 THE DEFENDANT: I just don't understand the way you're 15 putting it. 16 THE COURT: Okay. What is it that you don't understand? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Because I'm not trying to re-present 18 myself in any other capacity than what I actually am. 19 THE COURT: Okay. And what is that? 20 THE DEFENDANT: A man, a living man. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Nobody's saying you're not. Are you 22 going to be your counsel? 23 THE DEFENDANT: I mean, I'm not understanding that 24 I mean, I'm trying to understand what you're 25 saying, but I'm not understanding obviously. I'm saying that

1 || --

THE COURT: Well, there's three ways -- there's three ways that you can act in this case. You can be your own attorney, you can have standby counsel, which is what's -- the situation we have now -- I can't remember which one I said first. You can be your own attorney, you can have standby counsel, or you can be -- you can have an attorney to represent you in this case. Those are the three options.

And I'm just asking you which one you want to do?

THE DEFENDANT: I mean, I just -- I wish to stay in my capacity as a real man to retain all of my rights, privileges, freedoms and immunities --

THE COURT: Okay.

THE DEFENDANT: -- as secured by the original contract, lawfully amended 1819, Constitution for the United States of America.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, you're not answering my question.

THE DEFENDANT: I just -- I don't understand what you're trying to ask me. I'm here as a real man.

THE COURT: You've said that three times and I've heard you every time, so you don't need to repeat that again.

THE DEFENDANT: I know. And I don't want anybody talking for me. I can speak for myself.

THE COURT: So you're going to be your own counsel,

11-F-101 & 16-F-25 - Mot. to Revoke Home Confinement (2/19/16)

1 you're going to be your own attorney? 2 THE DEFENDANT: Well, I don't like to be classified as 3 an attorney. I'm not an attorney, and I don't want to commit any crimes saying that I'm an attorney. 5 THE COURT: Well, okay, I understand what you're saying. 6 I'm not going to say you're attorney, but you're going to 7 represent yourself? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Well, I will present myself. 9 THE COURT: Well, then I guess I don't understand what you're saying when you say, "I deny that I am acting pro se, 10 11 or as my own attorney or counsel." 12 THE DEFENDANT: Well, those would be capacities in my 13 opinion, and I can only act as me. 14 THE COURT: Somebody's talking back there. Don't do that. Whoever's talking needs to stop. 15 16 (Judge speaking to spectators in the back of the 17 courtroom.) 18 (Pause.) 19 THE COURT: All right. Well, we'll see how that works. I just did not understand, because you said several times 20 21 that you weren't going to act as your counsel or pro se. Well, you're acting pro se by representing yourself. 2.2 23 All right. The State has asked that this hearing today be continued. Do you still stand on that motion? 24

11-F-101 & 16-F-25 - Mot. to Revoke Home Confinement (2/19/16)

MR. ROGERS: Yes, Your Honor. Just to add to what's in

the motion, we do have a witness that is not present due to a serious medical illness. That testimony and evidence presented through that witness will make up probably almost half of the State's case. I would like to present the entire case to the Court. At the very least -- well, that's the State's stance as of right now, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, it was my understanding you had three

THE COURT: Well, it was my understanding you had three witnesses. You had this witness -- is this the witness that was scheduled to be at another trial someplace else in this thing?

MR. ROGERS: No. That witness has made it here, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So you've got two of the three witnesses here?

MR. ROGERS: Two of the three are here. The witness that is not here will be -- we'll be introducing evidence through that witness that the trooper who is here will be testifying to as well.

THE COURT: Are you saying the trooper can't testify until after that other witness testifies?

MR. ROGERS: That's correct. Well, he can testify as to other things, just to -- a certain portion of his testimony would be based off of that other witness' testimony.

THE COURT: And as I understand it, Mr. Deegan, you object to the motion to continue?

11-F-101 & 16-F-25 - Mot. to Revoke Home Confinement (2/19/16)

4 5

THE DEFENDANT: I never said that. I haven't put anything into the Court.

THE COURT: Well, I thought there was something filed that said you returned the --

THE DEFENDANT: Oh, I refused it because it doesn't have -- I'm a little confused, because I have documentation with different names, and I'm confused as to who and what is happening here. I have documentation from the Supreme Court of Appeals. I have documentation from the Magistrate Court. And everything is different, and I'm confused. And so I returned it because it did not appear to be to me. I have it all right here.

THE COURT: Here, will you give him this? Let him see that so that he knows exactly what the motion is.

(Bailiff shows document to the defendant.)

THE DEFENDANT: Okay, yes. That was -- yeah, and that's addressed to someone other than I've been recognized as by the Supreme Court of Appeals.

THE COURT: Well, I don't know anything about what the Supreme Court of Appeals has recognized you as.

THE DEFENDANT: Well, I have that here today with me.

THE COURT: Do you object to the motion to continue?

THE DEFENDANT: Well, I had in subpoenas, and I don't even know if -- have the subpoenas been issued pursuant to Rule 17?

THE COURT: Well, did you properly request them?

THE DEFENDANT: I sent in a notice to the clerk. I sent notice to everyone involved in the case. I know two notices go to the clerk, because I have two case files.

THE COURT: Madam Clerk?

THE CLERK: I have not gotten any subpoenas from --

THE DEFENDANT: The Clerk of the Court, Carole Jones.

THE COURT: Uh-huh (yes).

THE DEFENDANT: Because that's according to the rules, I think it's 17(a) and (b), I believe. I don't have that right here in front of me, but that --

THE COURT: The clerk's office has indicated that they've not received any requests to have issued -- subpoenas issued.

THE DEFENDANT: Oh, I will have to find it then, because I had it, and I had the declarations of service with it.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. OSHOWAY: If I may, Judge. This might be an appropriate moment to note for the record that I've received no communication from Mr. Deegan or anyone on his behalf since the last hearing.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE DEFENDANT: And I would say that I do not have any contact information for him, and that my assistant counsel has been doing quite fine in making sure that the things get

filed for me. And the research that I'm doing would probably be in violation of Rule 11, as you discussed with him the other day or the last time we were here. And I have very little time to prepare, so I don't have time to argue with him.

(Pause.)

THE DEFENDANT: Eleventh of January, there's one here. And that was -- yeah,  $11^{\rm th}$  of January, Carole Jones, it's signed by Phillip Hudok, declaration of service. It was --

THE COURT: Get the document that you submitted, please.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay.

THE COURT: So we can show it to the clerk. And the reason I say that, sir, is because most of the documents that you are submitting have not been in compliance with the rules as it relates to motions. And so the clerk may have received it, and did not recognize it as a request to have a subpoena issued.

THE DEFENDANT: Well, I mean, I can't type it. I have to handwrite it.

THE COURT: The rules allow for handwriting.

THE DEFENDANT: And the titles are always on there, and the file numbers.

THE COURT: Not in the way that the rules provide and not in the spacing that the rules provide. And if you're going to represent yourself, you have to abide by all the

1 rules of procedure, all the evidentiary rules as anyone else. 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, I can't find it through all this. I mean, I'm handcuffed here. I've got stacks of papers here 3 4 that have been unanswered by anyone. And I sent the second 5 one in February here. 6 THE COURT: Can you show that to him, and see if that is 7 the document that he's referring to? (Bailiff shows document to the defendant.) 8 9 THE DEFENDANT: That -- yes, that looks like that's it, 10 because I went back and actually put in the private corporate 11 Rules of Criminal Procedure 17(a) and 17(b). And then according to those provisions, that I was a prisoner of war 12 13 and kidnappee in a military prison, unable to earn money, so therefore I was indigent. And I went ahead and was -- gave 14 15 her the --16 THE COURT: Can I have that document back, please? (Bailiff hands document to the Judge.) 17 18 THE DEFENDANT: That was the second one. 19 THE COURT: Madam Clerk, do you recall seeing that? 20 would have -- I received it in my office on February the 8th. 21 I don't know when you all received it, probably on the same date, but --22 23 (Pause.) 24 THE COURT: Did somebody come by to get the subpoenas,

11-F-101 & 16-F-25 - Mot. to Revoke Home Confinement (2/19/16)

25

Mr. Deegan?

1 THE DEFENDANT: No. 2 THE COURT: Because the rules --3 THE DEFENDANT: Because I'm indigent, she was -- she's 4 supposed to have them returned. To have them served, and 5 then they be returned to her. 6 THE COURT: Okay. And what's the address that these 7 people are to be returned -- or served on?. 8 THE DEFENDANT: I have no idea. I'm in a military 9 prison, I have no idea. 10 THE COURT: Well, it's not the clerk's job to find out 11 the address. THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, I mean, and she won't speak with 12 13 my assistant counsel that comes in to file them. 14 THE COURT: That's why you need an attorney. It's not 15 the clerk's job --16 THE DEFENDANT: All right. I'll --17 THE COURT: -- to do your work in terms of finding the 18 addresses. For all the clerk's office knows, there's 19 multiple people with that same name. So how are they to know which of the multiple addresses they're supposed to do it at? 20 21 THE DEFENDANT: All but two are either state or state 22 employees. But I can find them, and I will send them in. 23 That is no problem.

11-F-101 & 16-F-25 - Mot. to Revoke Home Confinement (2/19/16)

you agree with the continuance?

THE COURT: So do you object to the continuance or do

24

perhaps not. It was signed on the  $26^{\rm th}$  of January, but --1 2 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. Well, then that would have been February 10<sup>th</sup> or 12<sup>th</sup>. 3 4 THE COURT: For some reason I'm thinking I --5 THE DEFENDANT: I have the green cards here. Eleventh or  $12^{\rm th}$ ,  $10^{\rm th}$  it looks like when they were received. It would 6 7 have been part of a pack. THE COURT: Right. 8 9 THE DEFENDANT: With a Dismissal Limine --10 11 12 13 14 15 Cause. 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

THE COURT: Well, no, no, not that one. Not that one. I think we'll get to that. But the one I'm talking about right now is a -- it's two things, Challenge of Purported Jurisdiction and a Cross-complaint, Counterclaim, and Bill of True Accounting of a Trust, also Criminal in Nature and THE DEFENDANT: Was that the original cross-complaint and counterclaim, forty-six pages, or was it an amendment to? THE COURT: It's forty-six pages. THE DEFENDANT: Okay. That would have been the 20th of January, actually. I think that was served in hand. THE COURT: This says signed on the 26th of January. THE DEFENDANT: Okay. Then it would have been the 26th. That was the day of that hearing then, the 26th. Okay. That is a Cross-Complaint, Counterclaim in Admiralty, with a Notice of Criminal Violations, and a Bill of True Accounting 11-F-101 & 16-F-25 - Mot. to Revoke Home Confinement (2/19/16)

1 of a Trust in Equity. 2 THE COURT: All right. And a challenge of purported 3 jurisdiction. THE DEFENDANT: I don't think that's a part of it, I 4 5 mean, the argument may be within it. I have other documents 6 that actually outright challenge the jurisdiction, venue and 7 law form. But, I mean, you would have to be a little more 8 specific. THE COURT: Well, sir, it's your document. 9 10 THE DEFENDANT: I mean, I have two stacks here of things 11 that I've written in my own defense, and I'm a little 12 hampered here. It's hard for me to go through anything. 13 So are we going back to the stuff that was on the 26<sup>th</sup>, or are we going with the stuff that was in early February? 14 15 That is not part of the counterclaim --16 THE COURT: Sir, that's the document I want to discuss 17 now. 18 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. Well --19

THE COURT: Okay. Let him have that. I don't need it I don't think at this point.

(Bailiff hands document to the defendant.)

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Is there anything else you want to say with regard to that, any evidence you want to present? else you want to say with regard to that document?

THE DEFENDANT: With this document right here.

```
1
     read it real quick?
 2
          THE COURT: Sure.
 3
          (Brief pause.)
         THE DEFENDANT: Okay. Okay. No, I know what this one
 4
 5
    is, yeah.
 6
         THE COURT: Anything else?
 7
         THE DEFENDANT: That I want to add with it?
 8
         THE COURT: Right.
 9
         THE DEFENDANT: Yeah. I have the stuff from the Supreme
10
    Court of Appeals --
         THE COURT: Okay.
11
         THE DEFENDANT: -- addressing me, and the envelope from
12
13
    them with the proper address.
         THE COURT: Can you get that document back from him?
14
    I'll need that.
15
16
         (Bailiff retrieves document from the defendant.)
17
         THE COURT: Do you need to see it? Let Mr. Rogers see
18
    it.
19
         (Bailiff shows document to Mr. Rogers, and returns
20
   document to the Judge.)
21
         THE COURT: You guys are not supposed to be taping this
22
    thing.
23
         (The Court speaking to spectators in the back of the
24
   courtroom.)
25
         (Pause.)
            11-F-101 & 16-F-25 - Mot. to Revoke Home Confinement (2/19/16)
```

```
1
          THE DEFENDANT: Well, to start with, I have the -- from
 2
     the Supreme Court, I have the envelope 85, it's not
 3
     returnable, it's not a valid address.
 4
          (Pause.)
 5
          THE DEFENDANT: It looks like I have two copies here of
 6
    each, which you both can take a look.
 7
         THE COURT: Can you get me the copy, please?
          (Bailiff hands document to the Judge.)
 8
 9
         THE DEFENDANT: Do you need copies of the bail agreement
10
    or the criminal complaint to see the contradiction between --
11
         THE COURT: No, I think I've seen most of those.
12
    think you've already submitted those.
13
         THE DEFENDANT:
                          I thought I filed them, yeah.
14
         THE COURT: All right. Anything else?
15
         THE DEFENDANT: Does he need a copy?
16
         THE COURT:
                     Mr. Rogers, do you want to see a copy of
17
    those things?
18
         MR. ROGERS:
                      Is this them?
19
         (Bailiff shows document to Mr. Rogers.)
20
         THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. Deegan?
21
         THE DEFENDANT: For that specific one?
22
         THE COURT: Yeah.
23
         THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.
24
         (Bailiff returns document to the defendant.)
25
         THE COURT: Can you get those documents, please?
            11-F-101 & 16-F-25 - Mot. to Revoke Home Confinement (2/19/16)
```

```
1
         THE BAILIFF: Hmm?
 2
         THE COURT: Can you get those documents?
 3
         (Bailiff hands documents to the Judge.)
 4
         THE BAILIFF: Do you want --
 5
         THE COURT: Nope, they're his.
 6
         (Bailiff hands documents to the defendant.)
 7
         THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. Deegan?
         THE DEFENDANT: For that specific one?
 8
 9
         THE COURT: Yes, sir.
         THE DEFENDANT:
10
                         No.
11
         THE COURT: Mr. Rogers, anything you want to say with
12
    regard to that motion?
13
         MR. ROGERS: Your Honor, to be -- I mean, I don't -- not
    really, I have nothing to say.
14
15
         THE COURT: Okay. It raises the issue of a lack of
16
    jurisdiction, in personam jurisdiction. Well, the
17
    defendant's present here in person, and that's what "in
18
    personam" means. So that motion is denied.
19
         Subject matter jurisdiction. This Court has subject
20
   matter jurisdiction over felony matters, and so that motion
    is denied.
21
22
         Territorial jurisdiction. I guess I'm going to
23
   interpret that to mean geographic jurisdiction. And it's
24
    alleged that these things happened in Wood County, West
25
    Virginia. This Court has jurisdiction over crimes occurring
```

within Wood County, West Virginia. So that is denied as to that aspect.

Political. The Court is not aware of any type of political jurisdiction. And so since it's not aware of any type of political jurisdiction issue, then that is also denied.

It also raises the issue of venue. It's alleged in the indictment and in the motion to revoke home confinement that these things occurred in Wood County. And so the motion with regard to a lack of venue is also denied.

So that motion is denied.

You also have one in the same packet, I guess, Cross-Complaint, Counterclaim, Criminal Complaint and Bill of Trust -- or, I'm sorry, True Accounting of a Trust, All Civil and Criminal in Nature and Cause. Anything else you want to add to that forty-six page document and the allegations contained in it?

THE DEFENDANT: I'm presently working on amending it to include the specific Constitutional original contract and international treaty violations.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Rogers, anything you want to say with regard to that?

MR. ROGERS: I don't have that specific motion I don't believe, Your Honor, but I'm not sure what Mr. Deegan is asking. But if he's asking for some type of counter-claim, I

don't think -- I would raise some procedural issues.

THE COURT: All right. First of all, this is a criminal case, and there is no provision in the Rules of Criminal Procedure or Trial Court Rules, or any other rules that govern these proceedings for a cross-complaint, a counterclaim in a criminal proceeding. So to the extent that it is styled a cross-complaint or counterclaim, it's dismissed.

In terms of a criminal complaint, certainly the defendant is entitled to file a criminal complaint against people, against entities, but not as it relates to this action. In other words, you don't file a criminal complaint within another criminal complaint. So to the extent that he desires to do that in this case, it's dismissed.

In terms of bill of true accounting of a trust, again, there is no provision in the law that would allow a bill of true accounting of a trust, whatever that might be, to be filed in a criminal case.

So to the extent that this forty-six page document is entitled, "A Cross-Complaint, Counterclaim, Criminal Complaint, and Bill of True Accounting of a Trust, All Civil and Criminal in Nature and Cause," it is dismissed.

All right. I have some other documents. This set of documents were -- was provided to my office on the  $12^{\rm th}$  of February, and it has listed on the declaration of service,

```
"Instructions and Contents, Authorities and Principles,
    Notice and Demand for Discovery, Challenge to Use of
 2
    Privilege, Assistance of Counsel, Calls and Communication."
 4
    There's nine documents, but those are the first four.
 5
         THE DEFENDANT: And then it would be also the Notice,
 6
    Declaration of a Further Challenge..." --
 7
         THE COURT: Right, right. Notice, Demand --
         THE DEFENDANT: "...Demand to Dismiss Alleged
 8
 9
    Revocation..." --
10
         THE COURT: Right.
         THE DEFENDANT: -- "...Limine..." --
11
         THE COURT: Right.
12
13
         THE DEFENDANT: -- "the Constitution of the United
14
    States Instructive Fraud, and Copy..." --
15
         THE COURT: Historic Lien.
16
         THE DEFENDANT: -- "...of a Historic Lien." Okay.
17
    Yeah, I know the one you're talking about.
18
         THE COURT: All right. First of all, I did not get a
19
    instructions and contents, although I don't know that that
20
    really matters.
21
         THE DEFENDANT: I think that was just for Carole Jones
22
23
        THE COURT: Okay.
24
         THE DEFENDANT: -- so that she would know which case
25
    files they were for.
```

1 THE COURT: All right. The authorities and principles, 2 there's nothing to rule on in this. 3 THE DEFENDANT: No. 4 THE COURT: It's just a statement of statements --5 THE DEFENDANT: Well, the --6 THE COURT: A three-page doc -- sir, I'm ruling. 7 there's no -- as the rules of these procedures state, if it 8 is a motion, it has to have a request for relief. There is 9 no request for relief in this document. And so to the extent 10 that I need to, I'm going to deny, although, again, there's 11 no request for relief, but I'm going to deny this document 12 entitled, "Authorities and Principles." 13 THE DEFENDANT: Can I speak on that real quick? 14 THE COURT: No, because there's no request for relief. 15 THE DEFENDANT: It wasn't a motion. 16 THE COURT: All right. We next have the Notice and 17 Demand for Discovery. Is there anything you want to say with 18 regard to that? THE DEFENDANT: I don't -- I mean, I'd have to dig for 19 20 it to see exactly what it said. THE COURT: George? 21 22 (Bailiff shows document to the defendant.) THE DEFENDANT: Okay. I know what we're talking about 23 24 here, yeah. Okay. All right. 25 THE COURT: Anything you want to add?

THE DEFENDANT: No, it's all written there.

THE COURT: Mr. Rogers, anything you want to say with

regard to this document?

MR. ROGERS: I don't have it, Your Honor. I'm not sure if he's asking something specific.

(Bailiff shows document to Mr. Rogers.)

MR. ROGERS: To save the Court's time, Your Honor, what I can say is the State has provided all discovery that it plans to use in this proceeding.

THE COURT: Are we talking about both cases?

THE DEFENDANT: It was for both though.

MR. ROGERS: This case. There will be more for the case 16-F-25.

THE COURT: That's what I mean. Okay. I'm going to treat this as a Rule 16 request for discovery, at least as it relates to the new indictment. You just indicated you've already given him stuff in the other case. But, again, as I've said in other cases and I just want to make sure the record's clear, I'm not aware of any actual revocation procedure for home confinement, other than it says something — the language in the statute is something about treated as a motion for probation revocation, or something like that.

23 And it's my understanding in a probation revocation there's

24 supposed to be discovery provided. So the State has the

11-F-101 & 16-F-25 - Mot. to Revoke Home Confinement (2/19/16)

obligation in the motion to revoke home confinement to

provide discovery.

As it relates to the underlying new felony case, I'm going to treat this as a Rule 16 request for discovery. And so the State should provide the information that is provided in the rules to give to the defendant. And then, Mr. Deegan, once you get that, if you want additional evidence, you need to make a motion for further discovery or a motion for bill of particulars, or other type of a motion to get any specific information that is not otherwise provided.

THE DEFENDANT: I was quite particular in that.

THE COURT: And what I'm saying is, some of that information is not necessarily provided and is not necessarily required to be provided. And if you want it, you need to make a motion for a more -- a more particular motion.

THE DEFENDANT: As to the revocation, I received a total of I think four phone calls, and that was it, a transcript.

And I don't have the actual CD of the calls to hear if there's anything exculpatory contained within it.

And I haven't heard the actual purported conference call, all I have is a transcript, and it doesn't match the criminal complaint. And so I'm kind of confused as to maybe something has been mixed up here, or something.

THE COURT: Well, I don't know, because I've not seen any of it. So I don't know.

THE DEFENDANT: Because I don't have the CD. I don't

have the actual recordings in my possession so that I can at least listen to them, if not even get them transcribed.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE DEFENDANT: There might be something to use. I don't know.

MR. ROGERS: It's all been provided when -- this was provided when Mr. Deegan was represented by Mr. Oshoway. It was my understanding in the last hearing we had that I was to provide transcripts for the phone calls that the State was going to use, which I did provide to Mr. Deegan. And I believe he stated at the last hearing that he wasn't allowed to have discs, although a disc of the phone calls has been provided -- was provided to his attorney when he was represented by an attorney.

THE COURT: The next document is --

THE DEFENDANT: What do I do?

THE COURT: -- Challenge of Assist -- Challenge to Use of Privilege, Assistance of Counsel, Calls, or Communications of any Nature and Kind. Do you need to see that document?

THE DEFENDANT: No, I'm --

THE COURT: Anything else you want to add to it?

THE DEFENDANT: No. Just what was stated in open court is that you had said it appeared that I had assistance of counsel that had just served you, and that they were doing fine. And because of that, they are privileged

I communications.

THE COURT: Anything you want to say, Mr. Rogers?

MR. ROGERS: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: To the extent that is motion seeks to recognize the individuals listed, Leonard Hayview, Phillip Hudok, Gene Stalnaker, Alice Lutz, I can't read the writing, Rolow, and Darlene Deegan as counsel for purposes of invoking the privileged communication, it is denied. They are not attorneys or counsel that is contemplated by the law, and I think there's even -- I didn't get a chance to look it up, but there's an exception, I think, to communication with counsel if it relates to the commission of a crime. So I don't even know whether that would apply. But, anyway -- so.

THE DEFENDANT: Is there a way then that I can get the rest of the phone calls then? If they're going to be used, I should at least be able to go through them. All he provided me with was four.

THE COURT: Well, I thought that's what -- you said that that's all you were going to use.

MR. ROGERS: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE DEFENDANT: But there may be some exculpatory.

THE COURT: Well, exculpatory evidence is not -- the State has an obligation to provide exculpatory information. It is not -- the allegation that there may be exculpatory information is not -- cannot be used as the ability to get

information that would not otherwise be discoverable. 1 2 Is there a reason why you're not providing him 3 everything? 4 MR. ROGERS: We didn't have transcripts, and there are a 5 ton of phone calls. I do have -- the disc that I provided 6 did have all the phone calls. 7 THE COURT: The disc that you gave counsel? MR. ROGERS: That's correct. 8 9 THE COURT: Okay. So, I mean, Mr. Oshoway, do you mind 10 giving him a copy of the disc? 11 MR. OSHOWAY: Not at all, but it's my understanding that 12 he can't take it with him back to jail. 13 THE COURT: I don't know. So, Mr. Deegan, it's 14 available to you, you just can't get it in jail. 15 THE DEFENDANT: But, I mean, I need it. I mean, that's part of the evidence. And they said in it that if there was 16 17 any exculpatory evidence in the stuff that they turned over, 18 that I was given notice of it. I can't be given notice of it 19 if I don't have it --20 THE COURT: Well --21 THE DEFENDANT: -- and I can't utilize it. 22 THE COURT: -- that's the jail rules. 23 THE DEFENDANT: I mean, I'm trying to defend here 24 against allegations. 25 THE COURT: Well, I don't know how to resolve that,

1 || k

because the jail says you can't have them.

that the Court orders the jail to do things, just like I couldn't bring a pen to do my work this morning while I was waiting for Court. All they said was that I had to get something from the Court. So as far as I know the Court can order them to do things.

THE COURT: I'm not going to order them to allow you to

THE DEFENDANT: But I don't know. I mean, all I know is

THE COURT: I'm not going to order them to allow you to have a sharp object like a disc.

THE DEFENDANT: I don't want to have it -- no, I mean, I'd like to be able to listen and/or have it transcribed, if necessary.

THE COURT: If you want Mr. Oshoway to give a copy of that disc to somebody on your behalf, that can happen.

THE DEFENDANT: Would it be my assistance of counsel?

THE COURT: It's between you and Mr. Oshoway as to what happens to that disc.

THE DEFENDANT: No, no. I mean, would he be giving it to my assistance of counsel or --

THE COURT: It is between you and Mr. Oshoway as to how that --

THE DEFENDANT: But I have no contractual relation with  $\mbox{him.}$ 

THE COURT: The next document is a Notice, Declaration and Further Challenge. Do you want to see that document, Mr.

THE DEFENDANT: Absolutely, yes. Yes

(Bailiff shows document to the defendant.)

THE DEFENDANT: Okay.

THE COURT: Anything you want to add, Mr. Deegan?

THE DEFENDANT: I think it was stated quite clearly that there's been no firsthand fact evidence of my allegiance or my contractual nexus with any creature of the mind, fiction of law, in any way, shape or form, and I'm just simply asking for it. If I'm bound by contract, I will absolutely adhere to a contract that's lawful in nature.

THE COURT: Anything you want to say, Mr. Rogers?
MR. ROGERS: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I'm not -- it's hard to decipher exactly what this document asks for. But in terms of -- at least as it relates to the issue of jurisdiction, I've already ruled on that. As it relates to the issue of venue, I've already ruled on that.

There's some mention in here about excessive bail. As I think Mr. Oshoway stated at the last hearing, there's been no motion for bail reduction, so I don't know whether the bail is excessive or not.

It raises an issue in here, I think, about freedom of speech. To the extent that that is raising an issue of being punished for speech only or for protected speech, that's an

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

because at this point I'm not sure exactly what the evidence is that the State has.

So -- and if I've missed something in this motion --

issue that would need to be raised and dealt with at trial,

THE DEFENDANT: There were --

THE COURT: -- then it needs to be refiled and be more specific in terms of what is being requested, and make it comply with the rules for filing motions.

Next is a Notice of Demand to Dismiss. Do you need to see that?

THE DEFENDANT: There's been several of them, so I probably would.

(Bailiff shows document to the defendant.)

THE DEFENDANT: Okay. I know the one we're speaking of here. Here to present a lawful cause of action, real crime, the fact that they have presented no actual firsthand actual evidence or competent witnesses. Yes, it stands upon its own. It is --

THE COURT: So there's nothing else you want to add?

THE DEFENDANT: I mean, I didn't know that that's what this was for today. I thought this was a revocation. I mean, I'm not prepared to hear any of those. No one said that that's what it was today.

THE COURT: So you're not prepared for the Court to rule on any of these motions that you keep filing?

11-F-101 & 16-F-25 - Mot. to Revoke Home Confinement (2/19/16)

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: I mean, I haven't -- I haven't had any response from the State to make an argument.

THE COURT: Well, and so far they haven't said anything with regard to most of them.

THE DEFENDANT: I know. And that's why I can't understand they're being denied, because he's not raising any objections or issues. I thought it was adversarial.

THE COURT: I don't follow what you say. I don't follow what the State says. I rule on them as I see fit.

THE DEFENDANT: No, I was not given notice that that's what this way today.

THE COURT: All right.

THE DEFENDANT: The only thing I was aware of was that it was a motion to continue the revocation hearing that was scheduled for today, and that I had a deadline of the  $19^{\rm th}$  to get all the motions in for the purported 16-F-25. And so that's what I was doing was attempting to get them in before then.

THE COURT: All right. Well, then we won't rule on any more of them.

MR. ROGERS: Your Honor, if I may for a second? Just for the record, I'd like it to reflect that the State didn't respond to some of these motions not because the State agrees with these things, but for the most part the State doesn't believe that -- I don't believe I've seen one yet that has

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

THE COURT: Umm --

THE DEFENDANT: With the declarations of service, I mean, and the green cards, return receipts.

THE COURT: Well, I don't know what you're talking

11-F-101 & 16-F-25 - Mot. to Revoke Home Confinement (2/19/16)

please.

(Bailiff retrieves calendar.)

THE DEFENDANT: And so will I need to prepare for all of them that I filed, because some of them go back to when it was still in Magistrate, then when it went to 15-B-328. I mean, I have some going back to the very beginning --

been proper or said something that the State should reply to.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. And we need the calendar,

So for those reasons, I'll just leave it up to the Court.

THE COURT: Well --

THE DEFENDANT: -- the 5<sup>th</sup> of October, I believe.

THE COURT: -- if you've got motions pending, you need to bring them to the Court's attention so that I know which ones you're doing. Because if you filed something over in Magistrate Court, I don't know anything about it.

THE DEFENDANT: No, no. They were always filed into the Because they were refiled -- whenever the case other cases. numbers changed, we refiled those previous ones again because we weren't sure if they had been transferred. I can have a copy of everything from the beginning re-sent again. Is that necessary?

about, okay? I mean, I've ruled on the ones that I have. 1 2 I'm ready to rule on some other ones. But I don't know what 3 you filed, and I don't know whether the ones you filed in 4 other cases have come to me or not. So I don't know what to 5 tell you on that, Mr. Deegan. 6 THE DEFENDANT: They've always been to the case file and 7 Wharton, which was at the time the only one I knew. 8 THE COURT: This witness that's getting medical 9 attention, how -- when will that witness be available? 10 MR. ROGERS: I informed him of the trial date set right 11 now, March -- towards the end of March, and he said that he 12 believes he'd be available towards the end of March. 13 THE COURT: He doesn't think he's going to be available 14 before then? 15 MR. ROGERS: It didn't sound like it to me. I can confirm that. I can try to confirm that in the meantime. 16 17 THE COURT: March 15 is what my calendar says. 18 MR. ROGERS: He thought -- and he thought he'd be 19 available by that time. But it sounds like he was going to 20 be off for a little bit of time, at least a couple weeks. 21 THE COURT: I'm not sure I can get to it before -- I 22 mean, that's really just about a month away. 23 How long do we need for this hearing? 24 MR. ROGERS: I believe an hour, Your Honor.

11-F-101 & 16-F-25 - Mot. to Revoke Home Confinement (2/19/16)

THE DEFENDANT: I would say longer.

THE COURT: How long? 1 2 THE DEFENDANT: I would say longer than that. I mean, I've called six, seven things. 3 4 THE COURT: Well, why don't we just go with Tuesday, 5 March the 15<sup>th</sup>? 6 THE DEFENDANT: And that's revocation? 7 THE COURT: That's revocation. Of course, that's the 8 same day that your trial is set, so --9 THE DEFENDANT: I don't have the resources to do that. 10 THE COURT: You don't have the resources to do what? 11 THE DEFENDANT: To be prepared for both at the same 12 time. I mean, I'm locked down twenty-three-and-a-half hours 13 a day in a cell. 14 THE COURT: It would be the same evidence, is it not? MR. ROGERS: Yes, Your Honor. 15 16 THE DEFENDANT: He said it wasn't. He said he had more 17 for the trial. 18 MR. ROGERS: I'll be providing a bit more evidence for 19 the trial, but I'll be getting that to Mr. Deegan soon. Not 20 that much different, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Well, let's go ahead and keep the hearing on the motion to revoke home confinement for Tuesday, March the 22  $15^{th}$ , at nine o'clock. 23 24 And after -- Mr. Deegan, after you get the discovery 25 from the State, if you don't think you can be prepared for

trial on that date, then you can make a motion to continue, 1 or deal with it otherwise, and we'll see what happens. But, 3 I mean --THE DEFENDANT: When does he need to turn the discovery 4 5 over by? 6 THE COURT: Yeah, how soon? I mean, I'm surprised it 7 hasn't been done yet. 8 MR. ROGERS: He's gotten almost everything. There's one 9 report that I've yet to receive, and I'm supposed to have 10 that early next week. THE DEFENDANT: Well, he can't say I've gotten 11 12 everything, because I don't have an independent transcription 13 of anything and I haven't heard any of it. So I don't have 14 everything. 15 MR. ROGERS: I've provided most of it, Your Honor, to --16 THE DEFENDANT: But he was never counsel. 17 MR. ROGERS: -- previously to defendant's counsel. 18 THE COURT: Well, you need to provide it to Mr. Deegan 19 now then, I guess. 20 MR. ROGERS: I don't -- I mean, he's requesting these 21 CDs. I don't know that I can send those to the jail. I'll 22 confirm with the Regional Jail. But if they don't allow me to send -- I mean, I know I wasn't allowed to send staples --23 24 THE COURT: We'll expand standby counsel's obligation, 25 Mr. Oshoway, to get CDs from the State. And then, Mr.

Oshoway, you need to be in contact with your client and pass 1 2 those CDs on to whomever he designates, either himself at the 3 Regional Jail if they'll allow him to have it; and if not 4 himself at the Regional Jail, then to whoever he designates 5 to pass those CDs along to. 6 THE DEFENDANT: If he's being --7 THE COURT: Do you understand? 8 MR. OSHOWAY: Yes. 9 THE DEFENDANT: Is he being imposed as assistance of 10 counsel? Because, if so, then I would say -- I would order 11 him to go ahead and get an independent verified 12 transcription, and then we don't have to deal with the discs. 13 I can --14 THE COURT: Do you have the resources to do that, Mr. 15 Oshoway? 16 MR. OSHOWAY: I would have to find someone who is 17 prepared to -- who is able to make such a transcription. 18 don't know -- I mean, I don't have anyone available right 19 offhand that I know. I mean, I --20 THE COURT: Are those services readily available? 21 mean, I don't know. 22

MR. OSHOWAY: There are folks who frequently or routinely transcribe preliminary hearings from discs and so forth, so that would be one of the first places I would inquire.

23

24

25

```
THE COURT: Okay. When can those -- when can those
 1
 2
    discs be turned over to -- or have you already turned those
 3
    discs over?
 4
         MR. OSHOWAY: I have discs -- I have the discs right
 5
    now, Judge.
 6
         THE COURT: Okay. All right. So you can -- okay.
 7
    Well, if you can do that, that would certainly --
         THE DEFENDANT: I would like to use those for the
 8
    revocation as well, since that's what they were offered for
10
    initially.
11
         THE COURT: Okay. Tuesday, March the 15th, nine o'clock.
12
    Anything else?
13
         THE DEFENDANT: Yeah. I just have the last two. Since
    the 19^{\text{th}} was the last day, I have a copy for each of you.
14
15
         THE COURT: What?
16
         THE DEFENDANT: Challenge to the Use of Transcripts and
    Calls.
17
18
         THE COURT: Okay.
19
         THE DEFENDANT: Completed on -- I figured I was going to
    be here, and today was the last day.
20
21
         (Bailiff hands document to the Judge.)
22
         THE DEFENDANT: So will all of the motions be heard on
    that day as well, the 15^{th}?
23
24
         THE COURT: I'm going to try to.
25
         THE DEFENDANT: Okay. So if I -- it may take the
            11-F-101 & 16-F-25 - Mot. to Revoke Home Confinement (2/19/16)
```

weekend to get copies of everything made back to the  $5^{th}$ , even though they've all been filed in all the case files. Will that be okay, so that you have a full set?

THE COURT: Well, Mr. Deegan, that's what I said, you've got to do whatever you feel like you need to do. I don't know what you filed. I don't know what I've been served with that's been filed and not been filed.

THE DEFENDANT: Well, I know everything's been filed with the clerk, with you, with Beane, and with Wharton since the -- well, for you and Beane since it was transferred in mid-October. Before that it was the Magistrate Court case file, and the clerk said that everything gets transferred when it changes. I mean, do you --

THE COURT: That doesn't mean I get a copy, that's what I'm saying. And I don't know what you've copied -- or what you've previously sent and what you have filed since. That's what I'm saying.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay. Well, what I'm trying to find out is what has she removed from the file?

THE COURT: I don't know that she's --

THE DEFENDANT: Because we've sent everything --

THE COURT: -- I don't know that she removed anything.

THE DEFENDANT: The last time he came said that she -couldn't be filed until it was your -- under your approval,
so I don't know what's in there.

1 THE COURT: Those were documents that -- what were those? I can't remember now, but she had -- she filed them. 2 She just didn't file them in the case. She kept them. 3 4 THE DEFENDANT: Right, that's what I'm saying. I'm not 5 sure what's in the file. 6 THE COURT: I can't remember now why she --7 THE DEFENDANT: So would it -- do I need to send you and the case files an entire set then, that's what I'm asking, 8 because I don't know what's in there and what's not. I mean, 9 10 I know that she signed for everything. 11 THE COURT: I guess the answer's yes, to be on the safe 12 side. 13 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. And that's okay even though it'll be past the 19th, because it'll take the weekend to get it 14 out, and it should be mailable by Monday. 15 16 THE COURT: Uh-huh (yes). 17 THE DEFENDANT: And maybe two days mail time, three days 18 at the most. 19 THE COURT: Yes. 20 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. 21 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 22 (Proceeding ended at 2:41 p.m.) 23 24 25

STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA, COUNTY OF WOOD, to-wit: I, Cynthia A. Sutphin, Certified Electronic Reporter and Transcriber for the Circuit Court of Wood County, West Virginia, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings held in the matter of STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA, Plaintiff vs. THOMAS DEEGAN, Defendant, Case Nos. 11-F-101 and 16-F-25, as recorded by me on the 19<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2016. Given under my hand this 25th day of March 2016. 

STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA, COUNTY OF WOOD, to-wit: I, Cynthia A. Sutphin, Certified Electronic Reporter and Transcriber for the Circuit Court of Wood County, West Virginia, do hereby certify that the transcript within meets the requirements of the Code of the State of West Virginia, Chapter 51, Article 7, Section 4 and all rules pertaining thereto as promulgated by the Supreme Court of Appeals. DATED: 3/25/16